



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/775,368 | 02/01/2001 | Paul Joseph Stewart | 200-1451 | 8120 |
| 75 | 90 04/07/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Daniel H. Bliss | | | NELSON, ALECIA DIANE | |
| Bliss McGlynn I | iss McGlynn P.C. ART UNIT | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2075 West Big l | | | 2675 | |
| Troy, MI 48084 DATE MAILED: 04. | | DATE MAILED: 04/07/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/775,368 | STEWART ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alecia D. Nelson | 2675 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper repl n places the applica | y to a ation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | • | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The apportion or the final | ropriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | • | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or si | mplifying the | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY.t | o issues which wer | e newly | | | |
| . For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Am Amel Awar 4-3-2004

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Ö

• Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claim now recites that the stic-to-surface force constrains the motion of the haptic device to stic to a virtual surface representing the surface of a geometric model, as opposed to the original recitation of constraining the hand of the user to the surface of the geometric model. This thereby requires further search and/or consideration.